

# Formula for Vector Rotation in Arbitrary Planes in $\mathfrak{R}^n$

H. S. Teoh

April 17, 2005

## 1 Introduction

We derive a formula for the rotation of a vector in an arbitrary plane that is applicable to  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Since all general rotations can be decomposed into a sequence of plane rotations, this formula is applicable to rotations in general.

Although in  $\mathfrak{R}^3$  every plane of rotation is uniquely defined by an axis, this is not true in any other dimension. Hence, a better way of defining rotation is by specifying the *plane* in which we are rotating, and a point on this plane, the *center of rotation*, about which we are rotating.

Formulae for vector rotation are well-known. Here, however, we desire a formula applicable to  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , and therefore need a formulation purely in terms of vector operations, and not involving any explicit Cartesian coordinates.

## 2 Assumptions

Let  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathfrak{R}^n$  be a vector. We shall denote the image of  $\mathbf{v}$  under rotation in a plane  $P$  by an angle of  $\theta$  as  $\text{rot}_{P,\theta}(\mathbf{v})$ . Without loss of generality, we make the following assumptions:

1. The actual plane of rotation  $P$  is parallel to a plane  $P_0$  that passes through the origin.

2. The center of rotation  $C$  lies in the  $(n-2)$ -hyperplane that intersects  $P_0$  at the origin. That is, the desired rotation in  $P$  about  $C$  is isomorphic via translation to a rotation in the plane  $P_0$  about the origin.
3.  $P_0$  is the span of two orthogonal unit vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ . Since planes of rotation are always 2-dimensional,  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are sufficient to fully specify  $P_0$ .
4. We define the sense of rotation as rotating  $\mathbf{x}$  into  $\mathbf{y}$ . That is,

$$\text{rot}_{P_0, \pi/2}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$$

### 3 Derivation

#### 3.1 Reduction to Rotation in $P_0$

Let  $\theta$  be the angle by which we wish to rotate  $\mathbf{v}$ . We shall achieve the rotation by rotating the projection of  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $P_0$ , and then mapping the result back to plane in which  $\mathbf{v}$  lies.

Let  $\mathbf{v}_p$  be the projection of  $\mathbf{v}$  onto  $P_0$ :

$$\mathbf{v}_p = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{y} \tag{1}$$

**Theorem 1**  $(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p)$  is orthogonal to  $P_0$ .

**Proof.** We show that  $(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p)$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p) \cdot \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}_p \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x})) \end{aligned}$$

But since  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are orthogonal unit vectors,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p) \cdot \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 0) \\ &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p) \cdot \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}_p \cdot \mathbf{y} \\
&= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} - ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{y} \\
&= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} - ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y})) \\
&= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} - (0 + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})\|\mathbf{y}\|^2) \\
&= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\
&= 0 \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

This means that  $(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p)$  is the component of  $\mathbf{v}$  that is orthogonal to  $P_0$ . Hence, it is unchanged by the rotation in  $P$ . Therefore, the image of  $\mathbf{v}$  under rotation by  $\theta$  in  $P$  is equal to the image of  $\mathbf{v}_p$  under rotation by  $\theta$  in  $P_0$  plus  $(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p)$ . That is,

$$\text{rot}_{P,\theta}(\mathbf{v}) = \text{rot}_{P_0,\theta}(\mathbf{v}_p) + (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p) \quad (2)$$

In other words, it is sufficient to compute the rotation of  $\mathbf{v}_p$  in  $P_0$  by  $\theta$ , and adding  $(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_p)$  to the result.

### 3.2 Rotation in $P_0$

From (1), we see that the coordinates of  $\mathbf{v}_p$  in  $P_0$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ , respectively. Let  $\phi$  be the angle between  $\mathbf{v}_p$  and  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then:

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{v}_p\| \cos \phi \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{v}_p\| \sin \phi \quad (4)$$

The resulting vector after rotating  $\mathbf{v}_p$  by  $\theta$  makes an angle of  $(\phi + \theta)$  with  $\mathbf{x}$ . Therefore:

$$\text{rot}_{P_0,\theta}(\mathbf{v}_p) = \|\mathbf{v}_p\| \cos(\phi + \theta)\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{v}_p\| \sin(\phi + \theta)\mathbf{y}$$

Using the trigonometric additive identities and applying (3) and (4), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{v}_p\| \cos(\phi + \theta) &= \|\mathbf{v}_p\|(\cos \phi \cos \theta - \sin \phi \sin \theta) \\
&= (\|\mathbf{v}_p\| \cos \phi) \cos \theta - (\|\mathbf{v}_p\| \sin \phi) \sin \theta \\
&= (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \cos \theta - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \sin \theta
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

And:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{v}_p\| \sin(\phi + \theta) &= \|\mathbf{v}_p\|(\sin \phi \cos \theta + \cos \phi \sin \theta) \\
&= (\|\mathbf{v}_p\| \sin \phi) \cos \theta + (\|\mathbf{v}_p\| \cos \phi) \sin \theta \\
&= (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \cos \theta + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \sin \theta
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Therefore, the image of  $\mathbf{v}_p$  under rotation in  $P_0$  by  $\theta$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rot}_{P_0, \theta}(\mathbf{v}_p) &= ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \cos \theta - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \sin \theta) \mathbf{x} + \\
&\quad ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \cos \theta + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \sin \theta) \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

### 3.3 Rotation in $P$

Finally, substituting (1) and (7) into (2), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rot}_{P, \theta}(\mathbf{v}) &= ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \cos \theta - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \sin \theta) \mathbf{x} + \\
&\quad ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \cos \theta + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \sin \theta) \mathbf{y} + \\
&\quad \mathbf{v} - ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{y}) \\
&= \mathbf{v} + [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \cos \theta - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \sin \theta - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})] \mathbf{x} + \\
&\quad [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \cos \theta + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \sin \theta - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})] \mathbf{y} \\
&= \mathbf{v} + [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})(\cos \theta - 1) - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \sin \theta] \mathbf{x} + \\
&\quad [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y})(\cos \theta - 1) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \sin \theta] \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Equation (8) gives us a formula for rotating the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  by  $\theta$  in the plane defined by  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . Since it is written entirely in terms of vector operations involving arbitrary-dimensional vectors  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\mathbf{y}$ , it can be applied to all  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

It can also be written in the following (notationally abusive) matrix form, which is visually more appealing:

$$\text{rot}_{P, \theta}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\cos \theta - 1) & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & (\cos \theta - 1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \tag{9}$$

In this form, its analogy with the familiar 2-dimensional rotation matrix is clear. The extra  $-1$  terms are because  $\mathbf{v}$  appears as a separate term in the equation. When  $\mathbf{v}$  lies on the plane  $P_0$ , the equation reduces to the familiar 2-dimensional form.